Gold Catalysis: Regio- and Stereoselective Total Synthesis of Xyloketals D and G and the Related Natural Product Alboatrin

Biswajit Panda and Tarun K. Sarkar*

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute o[f T](#page-7-0)echnology, Kharagpur-721302, India

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-7-0)STRACT: [A new and](#page-7-0) efficient one-pot desilylation−goldcatalyzed cycloisomerization of alkynes containing a silyl-protected phenolic −OH and a free alcoholic −OH unit leads selectively to the formation of tetrahydrofuranobenzopyran ring system. This approach has been used for the regio- and stereoselective synthesis of xyloketal D, xyloketal G, and the related natural product alboatrin.

■ INTRODUCTION

Xyloketals, originated from the mangrove fungus sp. (no. 2508), are a family of novel ketal compounds having a close biogenetic relationship.¹ The unique characteristics of this series of molecules is the cis disposition of three contiguous stereogenic centers em[b](#page-7-0)edded in the tetrahydrofuranobenzopyran moiety (Figure 1). Among them, xyloketal A (1) is most

Figure 1. Structures of xyloketals A (1) , D (2) , and G (3) and alboatrin (4).

Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic Pathway f[or](#page-7-0) the Compound 9

notable in view of its remarkable C_3 symmetry. Xyloketal D (2) and its regioisomer, xyloketal G $(3)²$ represent simpler structural analogues of 1. Both xyloketal A (1) and D (2) are known to inhibit acetylcholine esterase³ and are considered potential lead compounds for the treatment of neurological disorders like Alzheimer's disease. Inci[de](#page-7-0)ntally, a structurally related natural product alboatrin (4), isolated from the fungus Verticillium albo-atrum,⁴ also shows significant biological activity in that it inhibits the root growth of the host plant (Maris Kabul) and causes v[as](#page-7-0)cular-wilt disease in alfalfa.⁵ Over the years, the structural novelty and associated biological properties of xyloketals and alboatrin have triggered intense int[e](#page-7-0)rest within the synthetic community.^{6,15}

Recently, homogeneous catalysis using gold salts has emerged as one of the most dynamic fields in organic synthesis.⁷ On the basis of their ability to activate C−C triple bonds as soft, carbophilic Lewis acids, gold salts are ideally suited fo[r t](#page-7-0)he formation of C−C and C−heteroatom bonds by nucleophilic attack to these activated substrates. One of the areas where gold has registered its supremacy over other transition metals is the catalytic cycloisomerization of alkynediols,⁸ and this has emerged as an efficient strategy to build complex ketal systems in just one step.⁹ In continuation of our wo[rk](#page-7-0) on gold-catalyzed organic transformations,¹⁰ we report herein a novel gold-catalyzed cycloiso[me](#page-7-0)rization strategy for the synthesis of xyloketal natural products, e.g., xylok[eta](#page-7-0)l D (2), xyloketal G (3), and the related natural product alboatrin (4).

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

At the outset, our focus was on the linear tricyclic tetrahydrofuranobenzopyran ring system 9 (Scheme 1) as enshrined in xyloketals and the related natural product, alboatrin (4). We thought a triple bond could be used as the oxidation-state equivalent to a ketone as has been documented in a number of recent publications. 11 Thus, the required

Received: November 29, 2012 Published: February 21, 2013

pendant alkyne 8 on activation by a gold catalyst may allow addition of two hydroxyl groups (an alcoholic −OH and a phenolic −OH) to the alkyne so as to form compound 9. Because this cycloisomerization $(8 \rightarrow 9)$ is reversible, one would expect it to provide the thermodynamically more stable product having a cis ring junction. Compound 8 may be available from deprotection of protected phenol 7. The alkyne 7 could be made via a DIBAL-H reduction of 6 to the corresponding lactol (not shown) followed by alkynylation. The lactone 6 is obtainable by alkylation of γ -butyrolactone with substituted benzyl bromide 5.

The synthesis commenced with the known silyl-protected 2 hydroxybenzyl bromide 10. ¹² Thus, alkylation of γ-butyrolactone with 10 gave lactone 11 in 82% yield (Scheme 2). DIBAL-H reduction of 11 to lact[ol](#page-7-0) (not shown) followed by TMSdiazomethane-mediated alkynylation (Colvin rearrangement)¹³ gave the alkyne 12 in 78% overall yield.¹⁴ Deprotection of silyl group by TBAF gave phenol 8 in 98% yield. To test the gol[d](#page-7-0)catalyzed cycloisomerization, AuCl (3 [mo](#page-7-0)l %) was added to a methanol solution of 8 at room temperature; after 2 h of stirring, it gave the tricyclic ketal $9¹⁵$ incorporating the basic structural framework of the xyloketals in 81% isolated yield. Although metal-catalyzed spiroket[aliz](#page-7-0)ation of alkynes with alcohols are known in the literature, $8,9$ this type of linear cyclic ketal formation namely, xyloketalization is uncovered for the first time. Note that the gold-catalyz[ed](#page-7-0) xyloketalization is highly stereoselective and furnished only the cis-diastereomer unaccompanied by even traces of trans-diastereomer. Furthermore, addition of a catalytic amount of PPTS increases the reaction rate such that the reaction was completed within 30 min with excellent yield (96%).

At this stage it occurred to us that isolation of 8 (Scheme 2) is avoidable and that conversion of 12 to 9 could be carried out as a one-pot reaction. Indeed, the literature is replete with cases where the gold-fluoride compatibility has been documented.¹⁶ However, many authors continue to follow two-step strategies, first desilylation and then gold-catalyzed reaction, en route [to](#page-7-0) their targets.¹⁷ In the event, we added a methanol solution of alkyne 12 to a methanol solution of AuCl (3 mol %), TBAF (1.1 equiv), [an](#page-8-0)d PPTS (1.2 equiv) as proton source; after 1 h of stirring at room temperature the reaction yielded the tricyclic ketal 9 in 96% isolated yield.

Further experimentation (Table 1) showed that AuCl and AuCl₃ (entries 1 and 9) are the catalysts of choice in presence of fluoride ion for this reaction. Absence of TBAF (entry 2), however, resulted in complete decomposition of the starting material, although absence of a proton source, e.g., PPTS (entry 3) does yield traces of product (TLC). When $HgCl₂$, FeCl₃, and

Table 1. Xyloketalization of 12 under Various Catalytic Systems^a

	Cat. (3 mol %) ÒН ŤВS MeOH, rt 12	TBAF (1.1 equiv) PPTS (1.2 equiv)	Ĥ. Me 9
entry	catalyst	time (h)	yield ^b (%)
$\mathbf{1}$	AuCl	1	96 ^c
2	AuCl	12	0 ^d
3	AuCl	12	trace ^e
$\overline{4}$	HgCl ₂	6	0
5	FeCl ₃	6	Ω
6	CuCl ₂	6	$\mathbf{0}$
7	AgOTf	5	11
8	PtCl ₂	$\mathbf{2}$	44
9	AuCl ₃	1	92
10		12	α ^f

^aAll of the reactions were performed using 12 (0.2 mmol), TBAF (0.22 mmol), and PPTS (0.24 mmol) in the presence of catalyst (3 mol %) in methanol (6 mL) at room temperature or as otherwise noted. $b_{\text{Isolated yield.}}^b$ clusted yield in toluene (11%), CH_2Cl_2
(42%), and THF (80%). $d_{\text{In the absence of TBAF.}}^b$ in the absence of PPTS. $f_{\text{In the absence of catalyst}}$.

 $CuCl₂$ were used (entries 4-6), no traces of the desired product could be observed in the crude reaction mixtures by TLC analysis; only decomposition of starting material resulted. Other carbophilic Lewis acids such as AgOTf and PtCl₂ gave the desired product, albeit in lower yields (entries 7 and 8). In the absence of catalyst, this reaction yielded only the desilylated product 8 in excellent yield (entry 10). Other solvents, e.g., toluene, CH_2Cl_2 , and THF, proved to be less efficient in this reaction (entry 1, Table 1) as under these conditions the desired product was obtained in 11%, 42%, and 80% yields, respectively.

With the verified success of our approach to the xyloketal core through a synthesis of the simple model compound, we decided to first apply the methodology to a synthesis of noralboatrin (13). We began our synthesis with the silylprotected orcinol 2-carboxaldehyde 14¹⁸ (Scheme 3). Aldol condensation of 14 with γ-butyrolactone resulted in a complex mixture, probably resulting from fur[the](#page-8-0)r reactio[ns](#page-2-0) of the initially formed aldol product including scrambling of the silyl groups. This problem was readily overcome when the aldol condensation was carried out with the known aldehyde 15 containing −OMe groups instead of −OTBS groups, thereby

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Noralboatrin (13)

Scheme 4. Synthesis of Alboatrin (4)

providing 16 in 91% isolated yield as a single diastereomer; we made no attempt to determine its stereochemistry as it is irrelevant for the synthesis. Reduction of 16 with Et₃SiH in presence of boron trifluoride diethyl etherate afforded compound 17 in excellent yield. Demethylation of 17 gave a dihydroxy compound which, without purification, was protected with TBSCl by the usual way to give 18 in 78% overall yield. With 18 in hand, we then transformed it to 19 via DIBAL-H reduction to the corresponding lactol followed by TMS-diazomethane mediated alkynylation. Following our xyloketalization procedure, a methanol solution of alkyne 19 was added to a methanol solution of AuCl (3 mol %) and TBAF (2.1 equiv) in the presence of PPTS (2.2 equiv) and the mixture stirred for 2 h at room temperature: however, no desired product that is 13 could be obtained. Repeated efforts gave the same results. Incidentally, we discovered some shiny gold metal particles settling down in the reaction vessel, which instantly indicated that $Au(I)$ was getting reduced to catalytically inactive $Au(0)$ metal particles. Since $Au(I)$ has a low reduction potential, it probably got reduced to Au(0) by a highly electron-rich substrate 19 or its desilylated product.

To overcome this problem, we decided to make use of a gold salt having comparatively high reduction potential, e.g., AuCl₃ instead of AuCl. Accordingly, treatment of a methanolic solution of 19 to a methanol solution of AuCl₃ (3 mol %) and TBAF (2.1 equiv) in presence of PPTS (2.2 equiv) afforded noralboatrin $(13)^{19}$ in 93% isolated yield.

Our next goal was the synthesis of the natural product alboatrin (4). In this cont[ext](#page-8-0) the racemic γ -butyrolactone 20,²⁰

was subjected to aldol condensation with aldehyde 15 to give the $β$ -hydroxy lactone 21 as a white solid in 89% isolated yield (Scheme 4). Interestingly, the aldol reaction is highly stereoselective and we obtained only one diastereomer; its stereochemistry was confirmed by a single-crystal X-ray analysis (CCDC 855274, see the Supporting Information). Reduction of 21 with Et_3SH in the presence of boron trifluoride diethyl etherate afforded 22 in [excellent yield, which](#page-7-0) was then transformed to 23 following the same procedure as described in the case of noralboatrin (13). The Colvin rearrangement of the lactol (not shown) obtained from 23 to 24 under a variety of conditions (stirring at low temperature, e.g., −78 °C for 12− 18 h, and slowly warming to room temperature or carrying out the reactions at room temperature overnight) proved to be unfruitful, with the starting material being returned in each case in moderate yield. We ascribe this failure to a possible Thorpe− Ingold-type effect of the methyl substituent in the lactol, thereby preventing its ring-opening and generating enough of the free aldehyde in solution. However, heating the reaction mixture under reflux for 3 h and using excess reagents afforded the alkyne derivative 24 in 72% yield as a thick colorless liquid. Using our xyloketalization procedure, addition of a methanol solution of alkyne 24 to a methanol solution of $AuCl₃$ (3 mol %) and TBAF (2.1 equiv) in presence of PPTS (2.2 equiv) furnished alboatrin (4) in 92% yield. The melting point and spectral features (${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR) of our synthetic material are identical with those reported in the literature. $6f,19$ This is a facile synthesis of racemic alboatrin with 45% overall yield using a seven-step procedure.

The successful synthesis of noralboatrin (13) and alboatrin (4) propelled us to apply the same strategy to the synthesis of xyloketals $D(2)$ and $G(3)$. Xyloketals $D(2)$ and $G(3)$ are regioisomers. For their synthesis, we started our journey from the known aromatic aldehydes 25 and 26 (Scheme 5). Unlike in the case of alboatrin (4), aldol reactions of 25 and 26 were not completely stereoselective. Thus, while each of the carbinols 27 and 28 was formed in high yield with trans stereochemistry of the two side chains of the lactone ring, there was no stereocontrol in the formation of the remaining stereocenter. This was of course of no concern as reduction of 27 and 28 yielded compounds 29 and 30 as single diastereomers. Demethylation followed by silyl protection furnished 31 and 32, which were then transformed into 33 and 34, the ultimate precursors for xyloketalization. Xyloketalization of 33 and 34 then took place smoothly under the previously mentioned conditions described for noralboatrin (13) or alboatrin (4) to 35 and 36 in near-quantitative yields. TiCl4-mediated selective acylation of 35 afforded our target molecule *rac-*xyloketal D $\mathbf{(2)}^{6\mathrm{b}}$ as white solid in 82% yield. The melting point and spectral features $(^1\mathrm{H}$ and $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR) of this synthetic material were ide[nti](#page-7-0)cal with those reported in the literature.^{6b} On the other hand, 36 was converted to the methyl ether 37 (86%), a precursor in an earlier synthesis of racxyloketal G (3) .⁶ⁱ Thus, we have achieved a formal total synthesis of rac-xyloketal $G(3)$ as well.

A plausible m[ec](#page-7-0)hanism for the gold-catalyzed xyloketalyzation is shown in Scheme 6. TBAF deprotects the phenol silyl ether of compound 12 to phenoxide which receives the proton from PPTS to give compound 8. Then the cycloisomerization reaction may be initiated by the formation of the alkynyl complex A via the complexation of triple bond of 8 to the Au catalyst. The coordination of the triple bond enhances the electrophilicity of the alkyne as advocated for metal-catalyzed reactions of alkynes. The addition of alcohol (5-exo-dig) may then occur, giving the vinyl gold intermediate B. Now proto-

Scheme 6. Proposed Mechanism for the Gold-Catalyzed Xyloketalization

deauration furnishes the enol ether C (along with regeneration of gold catalyst), which leads to oxonium ion D. Subsequent nucleophilic attack of phenolic OH group furnishes the tricyclic ketal 9. Here, PPTS plays two roles. In the first place, it acts as proton source to yield 8 from the corresponding phenolate. Second, it also promotes proto-deauration followed by oxonium ion formation for the conversion of B to D.

■ CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have developed a novel route for the synthesis of xyloketal natural products, namely xyloketal D, xyloketal G, and the related natural product alboatrin, based on gold-catalyzed xyloketalization in the key step. Notably, our approach is adaptable to chiral synthesis of these targets if the known chiral lactone, that is (R) -20,^{6b,c,21} is employed in the initial condensation steps.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

3-[2-(tert-Butyldimethylsilanyloxy)benzyl]dihydrofuran-2 one (11). To a stirred solution of LDA (4.8 mmol, 1.2 equiv) (prepared from diisopropylamine (1.3 mL, 9.6 mmol) and nBuLi (1.5 M in hexane, 3.2 mL, 4.8 mmol)) in THF (30 mL) was added a solution of γ -butyrolactone (413 mg, 4.8 mmol) in 5 mL of THF at −78 °C. After 20 min of stirring at that temperature, a solution of benzyl bromide 10 (1.20 g, 4 mmol) in 5 mL of THF was added dropwise over 10 min, and stirring was continued at −78 °C for 2 h. After completion (TLC), the reaction was quenched with saturated aq $NH₄Cl$ solution, extracted with diethyl ether (2 \times 30 mL), dried over anhyd Na₂SO₄, filtered, and evaporated. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel gave the α -benzyl lactone 11(1.01 g, 82%) as a colorless liquid: IR (neat) 1774 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.15−7.10 (m, 2H), 6.89 (t, 1H, J = 7.4 Hz), 6.81 (d, 1H, J $= 8.0$ Hz), 4.26 (dt, 1H, J = 7.0 Hz, J = 3.0 Hz), 4.16–4.12 (m, 1H), 3.32 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.4$ Hz, $J = 4.0$ Hz), 2.92 (m, 1H), 2.61 (dd, $J = 13.6$ Hz, $J = 10.0$ Hz), 2.16 (m, 1H), 1.99 (m, 1H), 1.01 (s, 9H), 0.25 (s, 3H), 0.24 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.1, 154.0, 131.0, 129.4, 128.0, 121.5, 118.9, 66.7, 40.0, 31.3, 28.4, 26.0, 18.5, −3.8, −4.0; HRMS (CI MS) m/z for C₁₇H₂₇O₃Si (M + H)⁺ calcd 307.1724, found 307.1695; TLC R_f 0.30 (10% EtOAc/petroleum ether) (UV, I₂).

3-[2-(tert-Butyldimethylsilanyloxy)benzyl]pent-4-yn-1-ol (12). To the α -benzyl lactone 11 (918 mg, 3 mmol) in toluene (30 mL) at −78 °C was added DIBAL-H (1 M in toluene, 3.6 mL, 3.6 mmol, 1.20 equiv) dropwise over 45 min. After being stirred at −78 °C for 30 min (TLC shows consumption of starting material), the reaction was quenched by the addition of methanol (1 mL) at −78 °C. Saturated aq NH₄Cl solution was added, and the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (50 mL), dried $(Na₂SO₄)$, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting clear oil was directly subjected to the next reaction step. LDA (10.5 mmol, 3.5 equiv) was freshly prepared from diisopropylamine (3 mL, 21 mmol, 7 equiv) and nBuLi (1.5 M in hexane, 7 mL, 10.5 mmol, 3.5 equiv) in THF (28 mL) at -78 °C. After 20 min of stirring, TMSCHN₂ (2 M in Et₂O, 3 mL, 6 mol, 2 equiv) was added dropwise over 1 h at −78 °C. After being stirred at −78 °C for 30 min, the solution of the crude lactol in THF (7 mL) was added dropwise over 20 min. The reaction was warmed slowly to 0 \degree C over 5 h. After completion (TLC) of the reaction, it was recooled to −78 °C and quenched with saturated aq NH4Cl solution. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (50 mL), dried (anhyd Na_2SO_4), and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (PET/AcOEt 20:1−15:1) to yield alkyne 12 (712 mg, 78%) as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.22−7.04 (m, 2H), 6.89−6.74 (m, 2H), 3.78 (t, 2H, J = 6.3 Hz), 2.78 (m, 2H), 2.06 (s, 1H), 1.81−1.56 (m, 2H), 0.99 (s, 9H), 0.21 (s, 6H); 1³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.0, 131.7, 130.0, 127.6, 120.9, 118.4, 87.2, 70.2, 60.6, 37.5, 36.8, 28.5, 26.1, 18.4, −0.3, −3.9; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z for C₁₈H₂₈NaO₂Si (M + Na)⁺ calcd 327.1751, found 327.1749; TLC R_f 0.8 (10% EtOAc/petroleum ether) (UV, I_2).

2-[2-(2-Hydroxyethyl)but-3-ynyl]phenol (8). TBAF-3H₂O (694 mg, 2.2 mmol) was added to a THF (20 mL) solution of 12 (609 mg, 2 mmol) at room temperature, and stirring was continued for 1 h. After complete conversion (TLC), it was quenched by addition of saturated aq NH4Cl solution. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (50 mL), dried (anhyd $Na₂SO₄$), and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel to give 8 (372 mg, 98%) as a colorless liquid: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.17− 7.07 (m, 2H), 6.90−6.77 (m, 2H), 3.99−3.72 (m, 2H), 2.98−2.73 (m, 3H), 2.14 (d, 1H, J = 1.4 Hz), 1.79–1.69 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.3, 131.7, 128.2, 125.7, 120.7, 116.2, 87.2, 70.9, 61.1, 35.8, 35.8, 29.6; HRMS (CI MS) m/z for C₁₂H₁₅O₂ (M + H)⁺

calcd 191.1067, found 191.1064; TLC R_f 0.32 (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether) (UV, I_2).

9a-Methyl-3,3a,4,9a-tetrahydro-2H-furo[2,3-b]chromene (9). TBAF (1 M in THF, 1.1 mL, 1.1 mmol), PPTS (301 mg, 1.2 mmol), and AuCl (7 mg, 0.03 mmol) were added to a stirred solution of alcohol 12 (304 mg, 1 mmol) in methanol (6 mL) under argon atmosphere. After the reaction mixture had been stirred for 1 h, the solvent was removed and the residue purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate, $3:1 \text{ v/v}$) to give 9 (182 mg, 96%) as a white solid: mp 48–50 °C (lit.⁶ⁱ mp 44–47 °C); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.14−7.06 (m, 2H), 6.88−6.82 (m, 2H), 4.02−3.97 $(m, 1H)$, 3.96–3.90 $(m, 1H)$, 3.0[6 \(](#page-7-0)dd, 1H, J = 16.6 Hz, J = 5.8 Hz), 2.80 (d, 1H, J = 16.0 Hz), 2.50−2.43 (m, 1H), 2.08−2.01 (m, 1H), 1.81−1.73(m, 1H), 1.57 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.9, 129.5, 127.9, 120.9, 119.6, 117.2, 107.5, 67.0, 41.2, 29.0, 26.5, 23.4; HRMS (CI MS) m/z for $C_{12}H_{15}O_2$ $(M + H)^+$ calcd 191.1067, found 191.1053; TLC R_f 0.72 (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether) (UV, I₂).

2,4-Bis(tert-butyldimethylsilanyloxy)-6-methylbenzaldehyde (14). A mixture of orcinol-2-carboxaldehyde (900 mg, 5.9 mmol), imidazole (2.4 g, 35.5 mmol), and TBSCl (4.5 g, 29.6 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (6.0 mL) was stirred at rt for about 24 h under N_2 atmosphere. The mixture was then diluted with ethyl acetate (100 mL) and H_2O (30 mL). The organic layer was separated, washed with H_2O $(4 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ and brine, dried over anhyd Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = $50:1$) to give 14 $(2.0 \text{ g}, 89\%)$ as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.47 $(s, 1H)$, 6.29 $(s, 1H)$, 6.17 $(d, 1H, J = 2.2 Hz)$, 2.52 $(s, 3H)$, 1.00 $(s,$ 9H), 0.97 (s, 9H), 0.26 (s, 6H), 0.23 (s, 6H); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) δ 191.2, 162.3, 161.1, 144.4, 120.3, 117.2, 108.7, 25.9, 25.7, 22.2, 18.5, 18.4, -4.1, -4.1; HRMS (CI MS) m/z for $C_{20}H_{37}O_3Si_2$ (M + H)⁺ calcd 381.2276, found 381.2271; TLC R_f 0.64 (5% EtOAc/ petroleum ether), $(UV, I₂)$.

3-[(2,4-Dimethoxy-6-methylphenyl)hydroxymethyl] dihydrofuran-2-one (16). A mixture of aldehyde 15 (1.8 g, 10 mmol) and γ -butyrolactone (1.29 g, 15 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was added to a THF (30 mL) solution of LDA (15 mmol) prepared from 10 mL of nBuLi (1.5 M) and iPr2NH (4.2 mL, 30 mmol) at −78 °C. The reaction mixture was warmed to 0° C over a period of 7 h. After completion, the reaction was quenched with saturated aq NH4Cl and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue on chromatographic purification (silica gel) gave 2.42 g of product 16 as a white solid in 91% yield: mp 94–96 °C; ¹H NMR $(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 6.33 (s, 2H), 5.27–5.20 (m, 1H), 4.34 (dt, 1H, J $= 8.5$ Hz, $J = 3.2$ Hz), 4.19 (q, 1H, $J = 16.1$ Hz), 4.08 (d, 1H, $J = 5.2$ Hz), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.42 (q, 1H, $J = 18.8$ Hz), 2.41 (s, 3H), 2.09−1.80 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.7, 159.8, 159.0, 138.8, 118.4, 107.9, 96.8, 68.6, 66.8, 55.5, 55.1, 44.1, 26.1, 20.6; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z for $C_{14}H_{18}NaO_5 (M + Na)^+$ calcd 289.1046, found 289.1045; TLC R_f 0.28 (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether) (UV, I₂).

3-(2, 4-Dimethoxy-6-methylbenzyl)dihydrofuran-2-one (17). To a solution of the aldol product 16 (2.4 g, 9.0 mmol) and Et_3SH $(4.3 \text{ mL}, 27 \text{ mmol})$ in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) was added BF₃·OEt₂ (1.3 mL) 10 mmol) at 0 \degree C. After the reaction mixture was stirred at 0 \degree C for 2 h, saturated aqueous $NAHCO₃$ solution was added. The organic solution was separated, washed with brine, and dried (anhyd $Na₂SO₄$). The solvent was concentrated, and then the residue was subjected to silica gel column chromatography to give the benzyl lactone 17 (2.16 g, 96%) as a white solid: mp 86–88 °C; IR (neat) 1763 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.32 (s, 2H), 4.31 (dt, 1H, J = 8.35 Hz, J = 3.8 Hz), 4.11 (q, 1H, $J = 15.7$ Hz), 3.77 (s, 6H), 3.08 (q, 1H, $J = 18.7$ Hz), 2.82−2.76 (m, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.19−1.94 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) δ 179.6, 158.9, 158.7, 138.3, 118.1, 106.8, 96.1, 66.8, 55.4, 55.3, 39.6, 28.5, 26.1, 20.2; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z for $C_{14}H_{19}O_4$ $(M + H)^+$ calcd 251.1278, found 251.1277; TLC R_f 0.40 (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether) (UV, I_2).

3-[2,4-Bis(tert-butyldimethylsilanyloxy)-6-methylbenzyl] **dihydrofuran-2-one (18).** BBr_3 (1 M solution in DCM) (32 mL, 32 mmol) was added dropwise to a precooled solution of 17 (2 g, 8 mmol) at 0 °C in 100 mL of DCM. After being stirred at 0 °C for 1 h, the solution was warmed to room temperature and stirring was continued for 24 h at that temperature. On completion, the reaction mixture was poured into water, and dil HCl (10%, 50 mL) was added. The mixture was extracted with DCM; the organic layer was washed with water, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$, and evaporated. The residue thus obtained was used for the next step without purification

A mixture of residue, imidazole (3.25 g, 48 mmol), and TBSCl (6.1 g, 40 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (30 mL) was stirred at rt for about 24 h under N₂ atmosphere. The mixture was then diluted with ethyl acetate (200 mL) and $H₂O$ (100 mL) . The organic layer was separated, washed with H₂O (4 \times 50 mL) and brine, dried over anhyd $Na₂SO₄$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane/ethyl acetate = 50:2) to give 18 (2.81 g, 78%) as a low-melting solid: mp 68–70 °C; IR (neat) 1774 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.31 (d, 1H, J = 2.0 Hz), 6.20 (d, 1H, $J = 2.0$ Hz), 4.31 (dt, 1H, $J = 8.3$ Hz, $J = 3.8$ Hz), 4.11(q, 1H, J = 16.8 Hz), 3.09 (d, 1H, J = 10.3 Hz), 2.87−2.68 (m, 2H), 2.25 (s, 3H), 2.14−2.03 (m, 1H), 0.99 (s, 9H), 0.97 (s, 9H), 0.24 (s, 3H), 0.23(s, 3H), 0.18 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.3, 154.7, 154.3, 138.5, 121.2, 115.5, 108.7, 66.8, 39.7, 28.5, 26.8, 26.1, 25.8, 20.4, 18.5, 18.4, −3.8, −3.9; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z for $C_{24}H_{42}NaO_4Si_2$ (M + Na)⁺ calcd 473.2514, found 473.2519; TLC R_f 0.56 (10% EtOAc/ petroleum ether) (UV, I_2).

3-[2,4-Bis(tert-butyldimethylsilanyloxy)-6-methylbenzyl] pent-4-yn-1-ol (19). To the TBS ether 18 (1.35 g, 3 mmol) in toluene (30 mL) at −78 °C was added DIBAL-H (1 M in toluene, 3.6 mL, 3.6 mmol, 1.20 equiv) dropwise over 45 min. After being stirred at −78 °C for 30 min, the reaction was quenched by the addition of methanol (2 mL) at −78 °C. Saturated aq NH₄Cl solution was added, and the reaction was allowed to warm to rt. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (50 mL), dried (anhyd $Na₂SO₄$), and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting clear oil was directly subjected to the next reaction step. LDA (10.5 mmol, 3.5 equiv) was freshly prepared from diisopropylamine (3 mL, 21 mmol, 7 equiv) and nBuLi (1.5 M in hexane, 7 mL, 10.5 mmol, 3.5 equiv) in THF (28 mL) at -78 °C. After 20 min of stirring, TMSCHN₂ (2 M in Et₂O, 3 mL, 6 mol, 2 equiv) was added dropwise over 1 h at −78 °C. After being stirred at −78 °C for 30 min, the solution of the crude lactol in THF (7 mL) was added dropwise over 20 min. The reaction was warmed slowly to 0 °C over 5 h. After completion (TLC) of the reaction, it was recooled to −78 °C and quenched with saturated aq NH4Cl solution. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (50 mL), dried (anhyd Na_2SO_4), and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/AcOEt 20:1−15:1) to yield alkyne 19 $(1. 09 g, 81\%)$ as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR $(400 MHz,$ CDCl₃) δ 6.29 (s, 1H), 6.18 (s, 1H), 3.82–3.74 (m, 2H), 2.87–2.81 $(m, 2H)$, 2.74–2.68 $(m, 1H)$, 2.28 $(s, 3H)$, 2.04 $(d, 1H, J = 1.2 Hz)$, 1.73−1.66 (m, 2H), 1.01 (s, 9H), 0.97 (s, 9H), 0.24 (s, 3H), 0.23 (s, 3H), 0.18 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.5, 153.9, 138.9, 121.3, 114.8, 107.9, 87.4, 70.0, 61.5, 36.8, 32.1, 29.6, 28.5, 25.8, 25.6, 20.5, 18.2, 18.1, −4.1, −4.1, −4.4; HRMS (CI MS) m/z for $C_{25}H_{45}O_3Si_2 (M + H)^+$ calcd 449.2902, found 449.2890; TLC R_f 0.52 (10% EtOAc/petroleum ether) (UV, I_2).

Noralboatrin (13). TBAF (1 M in THF, 2.1 mL, 2.1 mmol), PPTS (552 mg, 2.2 mmol), and $AuCl₃$ (9 mg, 0.03 mmol) were added to a stirred solution of alcohol 19 (450 mg, 1 mmol) in methanol (6 mL) under argon atmosphere. After the reaction mixture had been stirred for 1 h, the solvent was removed and the residue purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to give noralboatrin 13 (205 mg, 93%) as a white solid: mp 146−148 °C (lit.¹⁹ mp 148−149 °C); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.29 (s, 1H), 6.22 (s, 1H), 4.75 (brs, 1H), 4.03 (dt, 1H, $J = 9.0$ Hz, $J = 2.8$ Hz), 3.94 [\(q](#page-8-0), 1H, $J = 16.4$ Hz), 2.73 (s, 2H), 2.50−2.42 (m, 1H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 2.10−2.01 (s, 1H), 1.85−1.74 (m, 1H), 1.52 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.9, 154.2, 138.5, 109.9, 109.8, 106.5, 101.8, 66.9, 40.9, 29.2, 23.3, 22.9, 19.5;

HRMS (CI MS) m/z for $C_{13}H_{17}O_3$ (M + H)⁺ calcd 221.1172, found 221.1169; TLC R_f 0.42 (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether) (UV, I_2).

3-[(2,4-Dimethoxy-6-methylphenyl)hydroxymethyl]-4 methyldihydrofuran-2-one (21). Aldol product 21 was prepared from aldehyde 15 (1.8 g, 10 mmol) and lactone 20 (1.5 g, 15 mmol) in 89% yield exactly following the procedure described for the preparation of 16: mp 98–100 $^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.27 (s, 2H), 5.19 (d, 1H, $J = 9.6$ Hz), 4.30 (t, 1H, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 4.25-4.06(m, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.65−3.61 (m, 1H), 2.96 (t, 1H, J = 9.8 Hz), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.28–2.05 (m, 1H), 0.56 (d, 3H, J = 6.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 180.4, 159.9, 159.3, 139.0, 118.1, 107.9, 96.7, 73.0, 68.3, 55.5, 55.2, 50.2, 33.7, 20.9, 16.3; HRMS (FAB-TOF) m/z for $C_{15}H_{20}O_5$ (M)⁺ calcd 280.1305, found 280.1314; TLC R_f 0.34 (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether) (UV, I₂).

3-(2,4-Dimethoxy-6-methylbenzyl)-4-methyldihydrofuran-2-one (22). Reduction product 22 was prepared from 21 (2.8 g, 10 mmol) in 96% yield exactly following the procedure described for the preparation of 17: mp 63–65 °C; IR (neat) 1777 cm^{-1} ; ¹H NMR $(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 6.30 (s, 2H), 4.30 (t, 1H, J = 7.9 Hz), 3.75 (s, 6H), 3.61 (t, 1H, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 3.06 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.9$ Hz, $J = 4.7$ Hz), 2.80 (dd, 1H, J = 13.8 Hz, J = 9.0 Hz), 2.44−2.34 (m, 2H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 0.74 (d, 3H, J = 5.8 Hz); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.4, 158.8, 158.6, 138.2, 117.6, 106.6, 95.9, 72.5, 55.2, 55.1, 46.0, 36.7, 25.9, 20.2, 16.7; HRMS (FAB-TOF) m/z for $C_{15}H_{21}O_4$ $(M + H)^+$ calcd 265.1434, found 265.1439; TLC R_f 0.46 (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether) (UV, I_2).

3-[2,4-Bis(tert-butyldimethylsilanyloxy)-6-methylbenzyl]-4 methyldihydrofuran-2-one (23). Compound 23 was prepared from 22 (2.38 g, 9 mmol) in 80% yield following the procedure described for the preparation of 18: mp 123–125 $^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.31 (s, 1H), 6.20 (d, 1H, J = 2.2 Hz), 4.32 (t, 1H, J = 7.9 Hz), 3.62 (t, 1H, $J = 8.9$ Hz), 3.13 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.9$ Hz, $J = 3.9$ Hz), 2.80 (dd, 1H, J = 13.9 Hz, J = 10.4 Hz), 2.48−2.36 (m, 2H), 2.25 (s, 3H), 0.99 (s, 9H), 0.97 (s, 9H), 0.67(d, 3H, J = 6.0 Hz), 0.24 (s, 3H), 0.23 (s, 3H), 0.17 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.5, 154.7, 154.3, 138.5, 120.9, 115.5, 108.6, 72.7, 46.1, 36.9, 29.8, 26.8, 26.0, 25.8, 20.4, 18.4, 18.1, 16.8, −3.4, −3.9, −4.2; HRMS (CI MS) m/ z for C₂₅H₄₅O₄Si₂ (M + H)⁺ calcd 465.2851, found 465.2857; TLC R_f 0.74 (10% EtOAc/petroleum ether), $(UV, I₂)$.

3-[2,4-Bis(tert-butyldimethylsilanyloxy)-6-methylbenzyl]-2 methylpent-4-yn-1-ol (24). To the TBS ether 23 (930 mg, 2 mmol) in toluene (20 mL) at −78 °C was added DIBAL-H (1 M in toluene, 2.4 mL, 2.4 mmol, 1.20 equiv) dropwise over 45 min. After being stirred at −78 °C for 30 min, the reaction was quenched by the addition of methanol (1 mL) at -78 °C. Saturated aq NH₄Cl solution was added, and the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (50 mL), dried (anhyd $Na₂SO₄$), and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting clear oil was directly subjected to the next reaction step. LDA (20 mmol, 10 equiv) was freshly prepared from iPr_2NH (4.2 mL, 30 mmol, 15 equiv) and nBuLi (1.5 M in hexane, 13.3 mL, 20 mmol, 10 equiv) in THF (30 mL) at −78 °C. After continued stirring at the same temperature for 20 min, TMSCHN₂ (2 M in Et₂O, 10 mL, 20 mol, 10 equiv) was added dropwise over 1 h and the reaction mixture stirred for 1 h. Then the solution of crude lactol in THF (6 mL) was added dropwise over 20 min. The reaction was warmed slowly to room temperature over 1 h, and then it was refluxed for 3 h. After completion (TLC) of the reaction, it was recooled to −78 °C and quenched with saturated aq NH4Cl solution. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (50 mL), dried (anhyd Na_2SO_4), and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/AcOEt 20:1−15:1) to yield alkyne 24 (666 mg, 72%) as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl3) δ 6.29 (s, 1H), 6.19 (s, 1H), 3.78−3.56 (m, 2H), 2.85−2.71 (m, 3H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 1.95 (s, 1H), 1.84−1.74 (m, 2H), 1.08 (d, 3H, J $= 6.8$ Hz), 1.00 (s, 9H), 0.97 (s, 9H), 0.24(s, 6H), 0.18 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.8, 154.1, 139.2, 122.0, 115.1, 108.3, 86.1, 71.0, 66.0, 39.3, 35.3, 29.9, 26.1, 25.9, 20.8, 18.5, 18.4, 16.3, 1.24, -3.7 , -4.1 ; HRMS (CI MS) m/z for C₂₆H₄₇O₃Si₂ (M + H)⁺ calcd 463.3058, found 463.3062; TLC R_f 0.64 (10% EtOAc/petroleum ether) (UV, I_2).

Alboatrin (4). Alboatrin (4) was prepared from 24 (600 mg, 1.3 mmol) in 92% yield following the procedure described for the
preparation of 13: mp 148−149 °C (lit.^{6f} mp 148−149 °C); ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 6.29 (d, 1H, J = 2.0 Hz), 6.21(d, 1H, J = 2.4 Hz), 4.66 (brs, 1H), 4.18 (t, 1H, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 3.51 (t, 1H, $J = 8.6$ Hz), 2.70−2.68 (m, 2H), 2.19 (s, 3H), 2.15−2.09 (m, 1H), 1.93 (ddd, 1H, J $= 11.0$ Hz, $J = 5.5$ Hz, $J = 2.0$ Hz), 1.50 (s, 3H), 1.06 (d, 3H, $J = 6.4$ Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.7, 153.9, 138.4, 109.7, 109.6, 107.2, 101.7, 74.1, 48.2, 35.4, 22.9, 21.6, 19.5, 16.0; HRMS (CI MS) m/z for C₁₄H₁₉O₃ (M + H)⁺ calcd 235.1329, found 235.1333; TLC R_f 0.14 (10% EtOAc/petroleum ether) (UV, I_2).

3-[(2,6-Dimethoxyphenyl)hydroxymethyl]-4-methyldihydrofuran-2-one (27). Aldol product 27 was prepared from aldehyde 25 (1.66 g, 10 mmol) and lactone 20 (1.5 g, 15 mmol) in 90% yield following the procedure described for the preparation of 16: mp 62− 63 °C; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.16 (t, 2H, J = 8.4 Hz), 6.49 $(d, 4H, J = 8.4 Hz)$, 5.57–5.49 (m, 1H), 5.32(t, 1H, J = 7.9 Hz), 4.34– 4.22 (m, 2H), 3.76 (s, 12 H), 3.71−3.58 (m, 2H), 2.86(t, 1H, J = 8.3 Hz), 2.81−2.70 (m, 1H), 2.51(dd, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz, J = 5.4 Hz), 2.27− 2.13(m, 1H), 0.86 (d, 3H, J = 6.6 Hz), 0.68 (d, 3H, J = 6.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.9, 177.0, 158.3, 157.6, 129.5, 129.1, 116.0, 116.0, 104.4, 104.3, 72.9, 72.7, 67.0, 66.4, 55.8, 55.7, 53.2, 51.3, 33.5, 31.6, 18.2, 17.0; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z for $C_{14}H_{18}NaO_5$ (M + Na)⁺ calcd 289.1046, found 289.1046; TLC R_f 0.48 (30% EtOAc/ petroleum ether) (UV, I_2).

3-(2, 6-Dimethoxybenzyl)-4-methyldihydrofuran-2-one (29). Reduction product 29 was prepared from 27 (2.4g, 9 mmol) in 98% yield following the procedure described for the preparation of 17: mp $71-72$ °C, IR (neat) 1771 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.16 $(t, 1H, J = 8.3 Hz)$, 6.53 (d, 2H, $J = 8.4 Hz$), 4.31 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.7 Hz$, J $= 7.6$ Hz), 3.80 (s, 6H), 3.63 (t, 1H, J = 8.5 Hz), 3.17 (dd, 1H, J = 13.2 Hz, J = 5.0 Hz), 2.92 (dd, 1H, J = 13.2 Hz, J = 10 Hz), 2.59–2.43 (m, 1H), 2.40−2.27 (m, 1H), 0.71 (d, 3H, J = 6.4 Hz); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.8, 158.6, 127.8, 115.0, 103.7, 72.8, 55.7, 45.8, 36.3, 23.3, 17.2; HRMS (CI MS) m/z for C₁₄H₁₉O₄ (M + H)⁺ calcd 251.1278, found 251.1281; TLC R_f 0.72 (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether), $(UV, I₂)$.

3-[2,6-Bis(tert-butyldimethylsilanyloxy)benzyl]-4-methyldihydrofuran-2-one (31). Compound 31 was prepared from 29 (2.0g, 8 mmol) in 76% yield following the procedure described for the preparation of 18: mp 111−112 $^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.95 (t, 1H, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 6.46 (d, 2H, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 4.32 (t, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz), 3.62 (t, 1H, $J = 8.7$ Hz), 3.17 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.2$ Hz, $J = 4.0$ Hz), 2.88 (dd, 1H, $J = 11.9$ Hz, $J = 10.6$ Hz), 2.58 (ddd, 1H, $J = 10.1$ Hz, $J =$ 9.7 Hz, J = 4.2 Hz), 2.50−2.39 (m, 1H), 1.01 (s, 18H), 0.68 (d, 3H, J $= 6.2$ Hz), 0.26 (s, 6H), 0.24 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.4, 155.3, 127.0, 120.4, 111.8, 72.7, 45.7, 36.8, 26.1, 25.9, 25.1, 18.5, 17.1, −3.9; HRMS (CI MS) m/z for C₂₄H₄₃O₄Si₂ (M + H)⁺ calcd 451.2694, found 451.2697; TLC R_f 0.44 (5% EtOAc/petroleum ether) (UV, I_2) .

3-[2,6-Bis(tert-butyldimethylsilanyloxy)benzyl]-2-methylpent-4-yn-1-ol (33). Compound 33 (colorless liquid) was prepared from 31 (1.35 g, 3 mmol) in 70% yield following the procedure described for the preparation of 24: ^{1}H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.95−6.87 (m, 1H), 6.44−6.38 (m, 2H), 3.94−3.86 (m, 1H), 3.53− 3.41 (m, 1H), 3.09−2.88 (m, 2H), 2.67−2.59 (m, 1H), 1.80 (d, 1H, J $= 2.4$ Hz), 1.80−1.62 (m, 1H), 1.07 (d, 3H, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.01 (s, 18H), 0.24 (s, 6H), 0.23 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 155.4, 126.6, 121.6, 111.4, 85.5, 70.2, 65.3, 39.5, 34.4, 27.6, 26.2, 18.5, 16.3, 1.25, 0.39, −0.25, −3.8, −3.9; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z for $C_{25}H_{44}NaO_3Si_2 (M + Na)^+$ calcd 471.2721, found 471.2722; TLC R_f 0.82 (10% EtOAc/petroleum ether) (UV, I_2).

3,9a-Dimethyl-2,3,3a,9a-tetrahydro-4H-1,9-dioxacyclopenta[b]naphthalen-5-ol (35). Compound 35 was prepared from 33 (896 mg, 2 mmol) in 94% yield following the procedure described

for the preparation of 13: mp 122–123 °C; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.96 (t, 1H, J = 8.1 Hz), 6.44 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 6.37 (d, 1H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 5.53 (brs, 1H), 4.21 (t, 1H, $J = 8.3$ Hz), 3.54 (t, 1H, J $= 8.4$ Hz), 2.90 (dd, 1H, $J = 24.3$ Hz, $J = 1.4$ Hz), 2.74 (dd, 1H, $J =$ 17.5 Hz, J = 6.2 Hz), 2.24−2.06 (m, 1H), 2.00−1.90 (m, 1H), 1.53 (s, 3H), 1.07 (d, 3H, J = 6.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.6, 154.3, 127.7, 109.8, 107.4, 107.0, 106.4, 74.2, 47.7, 35.6, 23.0, 19.0, 16.1; HRMS (CI MS) m/z for $C_{13}H_{17}O_3$ (M + H)⁺ calcd 221.1172, found 221.1178; TLC R_f 0.44 (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether) (UV, I_2).

Xyloketal D (2). To a solution containing 35 (220 mg, 1 mmol) in 7 mL of 1,2-dichloroethane at −10 °C was added 4 mL (4 mmol) of $TiCl₄$ (1.0 M in CH₂Cl₂). The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min at −10 °C, and 1.2 mL (120 mg, 1.5 mmol) of acetyl chloride solution in DCE (from stock solution: 1.1 mL acetyl chloride dissolved in 10 mL DCE) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. Then the reaction mixture was poured into 50 mL of 2 N HCl (aq), filtered through a pad of Celite, and washed with 30 mL of ethyl acetate. The organic phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ ethyl acetate. The combined organic phase was dried $(Na₂SO₄)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a crude residue. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on a silica gel column. Elution with 1:5 ethyl acetate−hexanes gave 2 as a white solid: yield 214 mg (82%): mp 78− 79 °C (lit.^{6b} mp 82 °C); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 13.12 (s, 1H), 7.52 (d, 1H, J = 9.2 Hz), 6.37 (d, 1H, J = 8.8 Hz), 4.21 (t, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz), 3.[57](#page-7-0) (t, 1H, $J = 8.6$ Hz), 2.96 (d, 1H, $J = 18.0$ Hz), 2.72 (dd, 1H, J = 17.8 Hz, J = 6.0 Hz), 2.55 (s, 3H), 2.10−1.96 (m, 2H), 1.53 (s, 3H), 1.08 (d, 3H, J = 6.4 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 203.0, 163.1, 159.7, 130.2, 113.3, 109.0, 108.5, 106.3, 74.5, 47.1, 35.3, 26.4, 22.9, 18.2, 16.0; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z for $C_{15}H_{18}NaO_4 (M + Na)^+$ calcd 285.1097, found 285.1102; TLC R_f 0.28 (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether) (UV, I_2).

3-[(2,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)hydroxymethyl]-4-methyldihydrofuran-2-one (28). Aldol product 28 was prepared from aldehyde 26 (1.66 g, 10 mmol) and lactone 20 (1.5 g, 15 mmol) in 93% yield following the procedure described for the preparation of 16: ¹H NMR $(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 7.39 (t, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz), 6.54–6.45 (m, 4H), 5.53 (brs, 1H), 5.16 (d, 1H, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 4.35 (t, 2H, $J = 7.9$ Hz), 3.81 (s, 12H), 3.68 (dt, 2H, J = 8.8 Hz, J = 3.4 Hz), 2.82−2.50 (m, 4H), 0.67 (d, 3H, J = 6.4 Hz), 0.65 (d, 3H, J = 6.2 Hz); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) δ 179.1, 178.5, 160.1, 159.8, 157.0, 156.1, 128.3, 126.6, 121.9, 121.1, 104.2, 103.6, 97.7, 97.6, 72.9, 72.8, 67.6, 65.7, 54.9, 54.9, 52.4, 51.6, 33.0, 29.2, 20.5, 17.4, 16.2, 13.8; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z for $C_{14}H_{18}NaO_5 (M + Na)^+$ calcd 289.1046, found 289.1044; TLC R_f 0.22 (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether), (UV, I_2).

3-(2,4-Dimethoxybenzyl)-4-methyldihydrofuran-2-one (30). Reduction product 30 was prepared from 28 (2.4 g, 9 mmol) in 96% yield following the procedure described for the preparation of 17: mp 92−93 °C; ¹ H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.05 (d, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 6.39(s, 1H), 6.37 (d, 1H, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 4.21(t, 1H, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.59 (t, 1H, J = 9.0 Hz), 3.15 (dd, 1H, J = 13.7 Hz, J = 5.2 Hz), 2.82−2.60 (m, 1H), 2.49−2.38 (m, 1H), 2.28−2.12 (m, 1H), 0.82 (dd, 3H, $J = 6.4$ Hz, $J = 0.8$ Hz); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) δ 179.3, 159.8, 158.4, 131.3, 118.8, 104.0, 98.2, 72.5, 55.2, 55.1, 46.8, 35.7, 29.0, 16.5; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z for $C_{14}H_{18}NaO_4$ $(M + Na)^+$ calcd 273.1097, found 273.1096; TLC R_f 0.44 (20%) EtOAc/petroleum ether) (UV, I_2).

3-[2,4-Bis(tert-butyldimethylsilanyloxy)benzyl]-4-methyldi**hydrofuran-2-one (32).** Compound 32 was prepared from 30 (2.0 g) 8 mmol) in 79% yield following the procedure described for the preparation of 18: mp 70−72 °C; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.96 $(d, 1H, J = 8.2 \text{ Hz})$, 6.38 (dd, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz, J = 2.4 Hz), 6.31 (d, 1H, $J = 2.4$ Hz), 4.23 (t, 1H, $J = 8.3$ Hz), 3.61 (t, 1H, $J = 9.0$ Hz), 3.34– 3.21 (m, 1H), 2.60−2.41 (m, 2H), 2.35−2.23 (m, 1H), 0.98 (s, 9H), 0.94 (s, 9H), 0.71 (d, 3H, J = 6.6 Hz), 0.22 (s, 6H), 0.15 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.3, 155.2, 154.5, 131.2, 121.9, 113.3, 111.0, 72.7, 46.8, 36.2, 30.5, 25.9, 25.8, 18.3, 18.1, 17.0, −3.5, −3.9, -4.1 , -4.3 ; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z for C₂₄H₄₂NaO₄Si₂ (M + Na)⁺ calcd 473.2514, found 473.2514; TLC R_f 0.48 (10% EtOAc/petroleum ether), $(UV, I₂)$.

3-[2,4-Bis(tert-butyldimethylsilanyloxy)benzyl]-2-methylpent-4-yn-1-ol (34). Compound 34 was prepared from 32 (1.35 g, 3 mmol) in 73% yield following the procedure described for the preparation of 24: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.04 (dd, 1H, J = 8.2 Hz, $J = 2.2$ Hz), 6.39 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.2$ Hz, $J = 2.4$ Hz), 6.30 (t, 1H, J $= 2.2$ Hz), 3.86–3.72 (m, 1H), 3.50 (dd, 1H, J = 10.0 Hz, J = 7.8 Hz), 2.86−2.56 (m, 3H), 1.96 (d, 1H, J = 2.0 Hz), 1.87−1.75 (m, 1H), 1.05−0.96 (21H), 0.24 (s, 6H), 0.18 (s, 6H); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.8, 154.2, 131.5, 123.2, 112.5, 110.6, 85.7, 70.7, 65.1, 38.6, 35.6, 25.9, 25.7, 18.3, 16.1, 15.9, 14.3, −0.4, −4.0, −4.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z for $C_{25}H_{44}NaO_3Si_2 (M + Na)^+$ calcd 471.2721, found 471.2726; TLC R_f 0.78 (10% EtOAc/petroleum ether) (UV, I_2).

3,9a-Dimethyl-2,3,3a,9a-tetrahydro-4H-1,9-dioxacyclopenta[b]naphthalen-7-ol (36). Compound 36 was prepared from 34 (448 mg, 1 mmol) in 93% yield following the procedure described for the preparation of 13: mp 117–118 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.92 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 6.42–6.38 (m, 2H), 5.37 (brs, 1H), 4.15 (t, 1H, J = 8.2 Hz), 3.49 (t, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz), 2.93 (dd, 1H, J $= 16.4$ Hz, $J = 6.0$ Hz), 2.68 (d, 1H, $J = 16.4$ Hz), 2.16–2.04 (m, 1H), 1.95−1.91 (m, 1H), 1.56 (s, 3H), 1.04 (d, 3H, J = 6.4 Hz); 13C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 155.8, 154.0, 130.0, 108.3, 108.2, 104.1, 103.5, 74.2, 48.9, 35.2, 24.1, 23.9, 16.1; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z for $C_{13}H_{16}NaO_3$ $(M + Na)^+$ calcd 243.0992, found 243.0993; TLC R_f 0.34 (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether) (UV, I_2).

7-Methoxy-3,9a-dimethyl-2,3,3a,9a-tetrahydro-4H-1,9 dioxacyclopenta[b]naphthalene (37). To a solution of 36 (200 mg, 0.9 mmol) in 4 mL of acetone were added anhydrous K_2CO_3 (248 mg, 1.8 mmol) and dimethyl sulfate (227 mg, 1.8 mmol) and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 12 h. Then the reaction mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure, and H_2O (10 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was extracted with diethyl ether (10 mL \times 3). The organic part was dried over anhyd Na_2SO_4 and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (petroleum ether/AcOEt 20:1−10:1) on a silica gel column to yield 37 (181 mg, 86%) as a white solid: mp 67–68 °C; $^{\mathrm{I}}\mathrm{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.96 (d, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz), 6.48–6.39 (m, 2H), 4.15 (t, 1H, $J = 8.3$ Hz), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.50 (t, 1H, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 2.95 (dd, 1H, $J = 16.6$ Hz, $J = 5.8$ Hz), 2.69 (d, 1H, $J = 16.0$ Hz), 2.16−2.03 (m, 1H), 1.96−1.90 (m, 1H), 1.55 (s, 3H), 1.04 (d, 1H, J = 6.4 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.7, 154.1, 129.9, 111.1, 108.1, 107.7, 102.0, 74.2, 55.5, 48.8, 35.2, 24.1, 23.7, 16.2; HRMS (CI MS) m/z for $C_{14}H_{19}O_3$ $(M + H)^+$ calcd 235.1329, found 235.1332; TLC R_f 0.82 (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether) (UV, I_2).

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

ORTEP diagram, crystallographic data, and CIF of compound 21 , ^{1}H and ^{13}C NMR spectra of all unknown compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

■ [AUTHO](http://pubs.acs.org)R INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: tksr@chem.iitkgp.ernet.in.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no competing](mailto:tksr@chem.iitkgp.ernet.in) financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by DST and CSIR, Government of India. The NMR facility was supported by the FIST program of the DST. We are thankful to Prof. T. Gallagher (Bristol, U.K.) and Drs. S. Djuric and S. Cepa (Abbott, USA) for continued help and support. T.K.S. is most thankful to Prof. R. V. Venkateswaran for triggering our interest in xyloketal natural products.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Lin, Y.; Wu, X.; Feng, S.; Jiang, G.; Luo, J.; Zhou, S.; Vrijmoed, L. L. P.; Jones, E. B. G.; Krohn, K.; Steingrover, K.; Zsila, F. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 6252.

(2) Wu, X.; Liu, X.; Jiang, G.; Lin, Y.; Chan, W.; Vrijmoed, L. L. P. Chem. Nat. Compd. 2005, 41, 27.

(3) Jinghui, L.; Yingbao, Y.; Yongcheng, L.; Zhiliang, C.; Xiongyu, W. Zhong Yao Cai. 2004, 4, 261.

(4) Ichihara, A.; Nonaka, M.; Sakamura, S.; Sato, R.; Tajimi, A. Chem. Lett. 1988, 27.

(5) Christen, A. A.; Peaden, R. N. Plant Dis. 1981, 65, 319.

(6) (a) Graham, S. R.; Murphy, J. A.; Kennedy, A. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1999, 3071. (b) Krohn, K.; Riaz, M.; Florke, U. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 1261. (c) Krohn, K.; Riaz, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 293. (d) Pettigrew, J. D.; Freeman, R. P.; Wilson, P. D. Can. J. Chem. 2004, 82, 1640. (e) Rodriguez, R.; Adlington, R. M.; Moses, J. E.; Cowley, A.; Baldwin, J. E. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 3617. (f) Rodriguez, R.; Moses, J. E.; Adlington, R. M.; Baldwin, J. E. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 3488. (g) Pettigrew, J. D.; Wilson, P. D. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 1620. (h) Biswas, B.; Sarkar, D.; Venkateswaran, R. V. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 3212. (i) Sarkar, D.; Venkateswaran, R. V. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 4559.

(7) For selected reviews, see: (a) Furstner, A.; Davies, P. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3410. (b) Hashmi, A. S. K. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 3180. (c) Li, Z.; Brouwer, C.; He, C. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 3239. (d) Arcadi, A. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 3266. (e) Jimenez- Nunez, E.; Echavarren, A. M. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 3326. (f) Gorin, D. J.; Sherry, B. D.; Toste, F. D. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 3351. (g) Hashmi, A. S. K.; Rudolph, M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 1766. (h) Michelet, V.; Toullec, P. Y.; Genet, J. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4268. (i) Furstner, A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 3208. (j) Hashmi, A. S. K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5232. (k) Aubert, C.; Fensterbank, L.; Garcia, P.; Malacria, M.; Simonneau, A. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1954. (l) Krause, N.; Winter, C. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1994. (m) Rudolph, M.; Hashmi, A. S. K. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 2448. (n) Lu, B. L.; Dai, L.; Shi, M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 3318.

(8) (a) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P.; Alonso, J. M Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 4405. (b) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P.; Alonso, J. M. Molecules 2011, 16, 7815 and references cited therein.

(9) (a) Li, Y.; Feng, Z.; Forsyth, C. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 279. (b) Hashmi, A. S. K.; Bü hrle, M.; Wölfle, M.; Rudolph, M.; Wieteck, M.; Rominger, F.; Frey, W. Chem.-Eur. J. 2010, 16, 9846. (c) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P.; Carrascosa, R.; Torres, M. R. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 1277. (d) Corma, A.; Ruiz, V. R.; Leyva-Pérez, A.; Sabater, M. J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 1701. (e) Tlais, S. F.; Dudley, G. B. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4698. (f) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P.; Carrascosa, R. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 4968. (g) Tlais, S. F.; Dudley, G. B. Beilstein. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 7, 570. (h) Benson, S.; Collin, M. P.; Arlt, A.; Gabor, B.; Goddard, R.; Furstner, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 8739. (i) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P.; Carrascosa, R.; López, R.; Menéndez, M. I. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 10748.

(10) (a) Panda, B.; Sarkar, T. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 301. (b) Panda, B.; Sarkar, T. K. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 3131. (c) Panda, B.; Bhadra, J.; Sarkar, T. K. Synlett 2011, 689.

(11) Brenzovich, W. E., Jr. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8933 and references cited therein.

(12) Heslin, J. C.; Moody, C. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1988, 1417.

(13) Colvin, E. W.; Hamill, B. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1973, 151.

(14) Although alkynes formation by Colvin rearrangement from aldehydes are very well documented, this is not so from lactols prior to our study.

(15) Sarkar, D.; Ghosh, S.; Venkateswaran, R. V. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 1431.

(16) (a) Dewhurst, R. D.; Hill, A. F.; Smith, M. K. Organometallics 2005, 24, 5576. (b) Xu, H.-B.; Zhang, L.-Y.; Ni, J.; Chao, H.-Y.; Chen, Z.-N. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 10744. (c) Zhou, Y.-P.; Zhang, M.; Li, Y.-

The Journal of Organic Chemistry **Article** Article **Article** Article

H.; Guan, Q.-R.; Wang, F.; Lin, Z.-J.; Lam, C. K.; Feng, X.-L.; Chao, H.-Y. Inorg. Chem. 2012, 51, 5099.

(17) (a) Sawama, Y.; Sawama, Y.; Krause, N. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 3573. (b) Fang, C.; Pang, Y.; Forsyth, C. J. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4528.

(18) Compound 14 was obtained from orcinol 2-carboxaldehyde via TBS protection.

(19) Pettigrew, J. D., Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Chemistry, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, Canada, 2006.

(20) Sharma, V.; Kelly, G. T.; Watanabe, C. M. H. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4815.

(21) (a) Mattes, H.; Hamada, K.; Benezra, C. J. Med. Chem. 1987, 30, 1948. (b) Howell, G. P.; Fletcher, S. P.; Geurts, K.; Horst, B. T.;

Feringa, B. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 14977. (c) Horst, B. T.; Feringa, B. L.; Minnaard, A. J. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3013.